

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/655,336	OH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Elias Desta	2857	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Elias Desta (Examiner).

(3) _____.

 (2) Edmund P. Pfleger (Attorney for the applicant).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 October 2006
Time: _____.


Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

 If Yes, provide a brief description: *discussed claim 23 in the present form.*
Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a
Claims discussed:
claim 23
Prior art documents discussed:
none
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Obtain authorization for examiner's amendment to correct antecedent basis problems with claim 23.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 MARC S. HOFF
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)